This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Kurlat, D. H. , Rosen, M. and Quintana, G.(1977) 'Self-diffusion of tin in a liquid tin-lead alloy at the eutectic composition', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 6: 2, $127 - 136$

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319107708084135 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319107708084135>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Phys. Chem. Liq., 1977, Vol. 6, pp. 127-136. *0* Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Ltd., 1977, Printed in Dordrecht, Holland

Self-diffusion of Tin in a Liquid Tin-Lead Alloy at the Eutectic **Composition**

D. H. KURLAT, M. **ROSEN**

and

G. QUINTANA

Departamento de Fisica. Facultad de Ingenieria (U. N. B.A.), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

(Received Augur 2, 1976)

By the shear cell technique, the self-diffusion coefficient of tin in a liquid Sn-38.1 **wt%** Pb alloy has been measured in the temperature range from 466°K to 786°K. **The** variation of $D_{5n-38.1 \text{ wt/}Pb}^{3n}$ with temperature doesn't follow the Arrhenius type equation and shows a change in its slope about **573°K** A qualitative explanation of this behaviour **is** attempted based on either a cluster hypothesis or rigid sphere liquid model.

1 INTRODUCTION

Measurements of viscosity coefficient, as a function of temperature,^{1,2} in liquid Sn-38.1 **wt%** Pb (eutectic composition), show agentle change in slope near 623 *O* K.

Taking into account Ubbelhode's theory,³ R. Kumar⁴ explains that this behaviour is due to the presence of clusters (pseudo-molecules) in the liquid, though this interpretation is still an open question.

The aim of this work is to verify if a similar change could be seen in selfdiffusion measurements, and in that case to discuss why it arises.

2 EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The experimental technique employed in this work **was** the shear cell, which had been first used by N. H. Nachtrieb for liquid metals $(Hg.Ga)$.^{5,6}

The shear cell was made in graphite, which avoids bubble gas formation

and chemical reaction with this liquid alloy. Furthermore, graphite is very easy to handle. The cell consisted of ten disks, mounted coaxially; each one was 0,5 cm. thick, with three off center capillary holes of 1 mm. diameter each.

Before a diffusion experiment, capillaries of the two last disks - which contained the Sn radiotracer $-$ were misaligned with respect to the others (Fig. 1B), until the run began by aligning the holes (Fig. 1C). The experiment was finished by rotating each disk in order to section the liquid thread. The measurement of the specific activity in each capillary section allowed **us** to obtain the diffusion profile.

Fick's equation solution with boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n t = 0 & t \neq 0 \\
c = c_0 \ 0 < x < h \\
c = 0 \ h < x < L\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{l}\n \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \\
x = 0 \ x = L\n\end{array}
$$

is '

$$
c(x,t) = \frac{c_0 h}{L} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2c_0}{3\pi} \operatorname{Sen}\left(\frac{n\pi h}{L}\right) \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2 n^2 Dt}{L^2}\right]
$$

kepiMary 2)thermocouples 3)driving axle 4)crucibk *5)* **contrd thermocouple**

6)furnace

where:

- c_0 = initial capillary concentration; t = diffusion time.
- $h =$ thickness of the disks where the radiotracer is initially (t = 0).
- $L =$ capillary length.

In our case $h = 1.0$ cm, $L = 5.0$ cm.

A numerical solution of this problem can be found in the Stefan-Kawalki Tables.'

All the operations were performed under Argon pressure (~ 1 Kg), with a previous de-gassing under 10^{-4} mm Hg. The temperature was controlled with a P.I.D. thermoregulator and measured with chrome1 -alume **1** thermocuples. A small positive temperature gradient (≤ 0.2 °C/cm) avoided convective effects - thermodiffusion was considered negligible.

The radiotracer was $_{50}$ Sn¹¹³, with a half-life of 112 days. Tin and lead were 4N purity.

The filling of the capillaries was achieved by plunging either the lower disks in a Sn-38.1 **wt%** Pb liquid alloy (with a dilute proportion of Sn'13) or the upper disks in an ordinary isotopic composition liquid alloy (Fig. 1A). Before the disks were sunk, they were immersed in the liquid; pressurised Argon gas (2-3 Kg) was admitted in the furnace to force the liquid to enter the capillaries.

3 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

While the shear cell technique has the major advantage of ailowing the cutting of the liquid column, a stirring may occur when the disks are rotated to stop the experiment.⁹ It seems probable that the larger the ratio between the width of each disk and the capillary hole diameter is, the less is the mixing which would occur. **As** a matter of fact, we have never obtained the same value of the self-diffusion coefficient in the three capillaries for each experiment.

Experimental values, having a σ (mean square deviation)/ D_{average} ratio larger than 10% were not considered. **As** is shown in Figure 2 aslope change is observed near \sim 573 °K. This is not strictly in agreement with the observed values of viscosity coefficient (Figure **3),.** which indicates a change near $593^{\circ}K^{\prime}$ or 673°K.² Actually, the dispersion in the experimental points prevents us determining where the "exact" temperature points of inflection is. At any rate, it is evident that there is not a drastic slope change, **as** in some other liquids i.e. Te.'O The results are shown in Table 1.

In Figure 4, a plot of Ln $D_{\text{Sn-38.1 wtZPb}}^{\text{Sn*}}$ versus 1/T is shown. An adjustment by the least squares method gives the following values for the pre-exponential

 131

TABLE 1

$D.10^{5}$ (cm ² -sec ⁻¹)	$T(^{\circ}K)$	t(sec)
3.6	466	21,600
2.4	477	16,200
3.3	482	19,800
2.6	488	16,200
7.0	503	9,600
6.6	516	16.200
7.8	521	16,200
8.5	548	10,800
8.8	586	14,400
6.9	604	10,800
9.5	656	9,000
12.1	728	9,000
14.0	786	9,000

Self-diffusion coefficient of Sn"' in the molten Sn-Pb eutectic alloy.

term and the activation energy.

 $\begin{cases} D_{01} = 2.67 \text{ cm}^2\text{-sec}^{-1} \\ Q_1 = 10.55 \text{ k-cal.mole}^{-1} \end{cases} \qquad T_m < T < 573 \text{°K}$ $Q_1 = 10.55$ k-cal.mole⁻¹
 $D_{02} = 6.88.10^{-4}$ cm²-sec⁻¹
 $Q_2 = 2.47$ k-cal.mole⁻¹
 573 ° K < T < 786° K

As it can be easily seen, $D_{\text{Sn-38.1 wt%}Pb}^{\text{Sn*}}$ does not follow an Arrhenius law in all the temperature domain. This result is not in agreement with the observed value of viscosity.²

4 DISCUSSION

By using different experimental techniques several authors have suggested the presence of clusters in this liquid alloy. A. Lashkoand A. V. Romanova," performed X-Rays diffraction experiments and they claimed that they had found-between 473°K and $673 \text{°K}-$ a structure which consists in domains in which one of the components is preferentially concentrated ("quasi-eutectic structure"). This structure was not observed above 673 °K.

R. Kumar,³ has used a centrifuging technique, and he came to the following results:

The clusters of lead and tin are approximately 35Å and 25Å respectively. The size of each cluster is increased with temperature (up to \sim 973 °K). The calculated heat of formation of each cluster has a value of 25 k -cal.mole⁻¹ (at 523 $\textdegree K$).

He applied Einstein's equation

$$
\frac{\eta_{\rm i}}{\eta_{\rm si}} = 1 + 2.5 \phi + 7 \phi^2 + \dots
$$

where:

 η_i = actual value of viscosity.

- η_{si} = value that the viscosity would have if none of the molecules were grouped in clusters.
- **⁴**= volume fraction of suspended particles. The calculated results are:

$$
0.9 > \phi > 0.01
$$
 for 485° K < T < 673[°]K
 $\phi \rightarrow 0$ for T > 673[°]K.

This is a far from rigorous treatment of the problem $-$ in spite of being commonly used -because to consider clusters **as** rigid spheres is an evident oversimplification. The lifetime of a cluster, though much larger than the jump frequency is not infinite. It is interesting to point out that in his original paper Einstein used this theory in the case of a very dilute solution of sugar in water.I2 Of course, it is right to consider sugar molecules **as** rigid spheres of infinite lifetime, but this is not the case of clusters which are in a dynamical process of aggregation and dissociation. *On* the other hand, since the activity of tin and lead deviates positively from Raoult's law, **l3** the atomic interaction between like atoms is larger than between unlike atoms. Taking into account the cluster hypothesis, we could explain, at least qualitatively, the different behaviour of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{Sn-38.1W2Pb}}^{\text{Sn*}}$ in two different domains of temperature. Between T_{melting} and \sim 573 $\,^{\circ}$ K, relatively small changes in temperature produce dissociation of the clusters, and the diffusion flow is substantially increased. Above 573°K the liquid is "monomeric" and the variation of the selfdiffusion coefficient is less rough. As a matter of fact, the cluster hypothesis does not lead to a prediction of a numerical value for the diffusion coefficient.

It is well known that several different theoretical approaches have been used to give a quantitative description of the diffusive process in monoatomic liquids,⁹ and increasingly mathematical complexity must be expected when the problem of binary liquid alloys is treated.

For example, H. J. Raveche and J. E. Mayer,¹⁴ starting from a statisticalmechanical point of ,view, had developed a method for treating a liquid mixture away from thermodynamical equilibrium. Nevertheless, they arrived at equations -admittedly cumbersome -where D depends on pair potentials and the equilibrium pair and the equilibrium triplet correlation functions. The need to know this last function makes it extremely difficult to make a thorough test of this theory.

D. Kessel, H. Witte and A. Weiss,¹⁵ have developed the Cohen-Turnbull model in liquid mixtures. They suppose that each of the physical magnitudes involved in this theory, follow a simple additivity rule. **As** the resistivity'6 and the viscosity² also follows this rule, it is tempting to apply this approximation to our alloy. But it can be seen in Figure 5C that $D = f[T^{1/2}]$, $\exp(-1/T)$ does not show linearity. It could be argued that the hard-sphere diameter or the thermal expansion coefficient also changes with the temperature. Unfortunately, these two magnitudes are related by astraightforward relationship," so any independent adjustment of each of them would seem rather improper. Theoretical models which predict other temperature dependences of **D** do not yield straight lines. (Figs. 5A and 5B).

At any rate, if one accepts the rigid sphere liquid model the change in the slope must be ascribed to a change in its diameter.

Summing up

Neither hypotheses $-$ cluster or rigid sphere models $-$ lead to a satisfactory quantitative explanation of the variation of D with the temperature.

Both hypotheses agree that the change in the slope is due to a change in the size of the elements which take part in the atomic motion.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their consideration to the National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET) for its finantial support.

References

- 1. H. J. Fisher and A. Phillips., *Trans. TMS-AIME, 200,* 1060 (1954).
- 2. M. Shiraishi, Y. Ogino and A. Adachi., *Technology Reports of the Osaka University.* Vol. **22,** N1062 (1972).
- 3. E. McLaughlin and A. R. Ubbelohde., *Tranr. Fm~day Soc.,* 56,988 (1960).
- 4. R. Kumar, M. Singh and C. *S.* Sivaramakrishnan., *Trans. TMS-AIME, 239,* 1219 (1967).
- 5. N. H. Nachtrieb and J. Petit., J. *chem Phys., 24,* N4746 (1956).
- 6. N. H. Nachtrieb and J. Petit., J. *chem Phys., 24,* N5, 1027 (1956).
- 7. Y. Adda et J. Philibert **"La** diffusion dans les solides". *Bibliotheque* des *Sciences et Techniques Nucleaires,* p. 156.
- 8. H. Jost "Diffusion in Solid, liquid, **gases"** Academic Press (New York). 1952.
- 9. N. H. Nachtrieb "The Properties of Liquid Metals (Proceedings of de $2nd$ International Conference. Tokyo, Japan)" Taylor & Francis, London, 1973.
- 10. D. H. Kurlat, C. Potard and P. Hicter., *Phys. Chem Liquids,* **183,** (1974).
- 11. A. Lashko and A. *V.* Romanova., *Izv. Akad Nauk. SSSR,* N3, 135.
- 12. A. Einstein "Investigations on the Theory of the Brownian Movement" Dover Publications, Inc., **p.** 36-49.
- 13. B. Predel., Z. *Metallkde,* **51,** 381 (1960).
- 14. H. J. Raveche and J. Mayer., *J. chem. Phys., 52,* N83990 (1970).
- 15. D. Kessler, H. Witte and A. Weiss., *Ber. Bwenges. Physik. Chem* **71,** *3* (1967).
- 16. Y. Mera, Y. Kita and A. Adachi., Technology *Reports of* **the** *Osaka University,* **Vol.** *22,* N1063 (1972).
- 17. T. E. Faber "An Introduction to the Theory of Liquid Metals" Cambridge University Press, p. 103, (1972).